	Case 5:07-cv-01161-JF Document 4 Filed 08/01/07 Page 1 of 4 **E-filed 8/1/07**
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	NOT FOR CITATION
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	RICHARD G. MORENO, ) No. C 07-1161 JF (PR)
14	Petitioner, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	vs. )
16	BEN CURRY, Warden,
17	Respondent.
18	
19	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas
20	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the Board of Prison Terms' ("Board")
21	denial of parole. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. The Court orders Respondent to show
22	cause why the petition should not be granted.
23	STATEMENT
24	In 1972, Petitioner was sentenced to life in prison with the possibility of parole
25	after his conviction for first degree murder in Sacramento Superior Court. Petitioner
26	challenges the Board of Prison Terms' failure to grant him parole. Petitioner sought
27	habeas relief in the state superior court, state appellate court, and state supreme court, all
28	of which were denied as of May 23, 2007.
	Order to Show Cause P:\pro-se\sj.jf\hc.07\Moreno161osc

Petitioner filed the instant federal petition on February 27, 2007.

2

1

# DISCUSSION

3

5

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

### A. Standard of Review

This Court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

A district court shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

#### В. Petitioner's Claim

As grounds for federal habeas relief, Petitioner alleges that the Board has failed to set a parole date and a fixed term in violation of state and federal law and the ex post facto clause. Liberally construed, Petitioner's claim is cognizable under § 2254. The Court orders Respondent to show cause why the petition for a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.

## CONCLUSION

- 1. The Clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition and all attachments thereto upon the Respondent and the Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on the Petitioner.
- 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **sixty** days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state parole record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse

with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty days** of his receipt of the answer.

- 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty days** of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen days** of receipt of any opposition.
- 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the Court and all parties informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_7/31/07\_\_\_\_

United States D strict Judge

Order to Show Cause

P:\pro-se\sj.jf\hc.07\Moreno161osc

	Case 5:07-cv-01161-JF Document 4 Filed 08/01/07 Page 4 of 4
1	A copy of this ruling was mailed to the following:
2	A copy of this fulling was maried to the following.
3	Richard G. Moreno A-52525
4	CTF - Soledad P.O. Box 689 Soledad, CA 93960-0689
5	Soledad, CA 93960-0689
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Order to Show Cause
	P:\pro-se\sj.jf\hc.07\Moreno161osc